



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,256	12/13/2001	Mary I. Hageman	YOR9-2001-0721-US1	1723
28211	7590	05/06/2004		
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401				
			EXAMINER CHOJNACKI, MELLISSA M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2175		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/015,256

Applicant(s)

HAGEMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Mellissa M Chojnacki

Art Unit

2175

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The arrangement of the disclosed application does not conform with 37 CFR 1.77(b).

Section headings are **boldface** throughout the disclosed specification.

Section headings should not be underlined, and/or **boldfaced**. Appropriate corrections are required according to the guidelines provided below:

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sziklai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,341,287).

As to claim 1, Sziklai et al. teaches a method for tracking custom computer application development profiles in a data processing system (See abstract, where "custom computer application" is read on "information on operations and requirements concerning an activity or area of business"; also see column 8, lines 60-65), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2175

creating the profiles with a first database tool (See column 8, lines 25-41, lines 60-67; column 9, lines 1-3, where “profiles” is read on “business operations”; also see column 9, lines 13-19);

gathering requirements of the profiles with a second database tool (See abstract; column 10, lines 24-33, where “disposal of hazardous waste in landfills” is used as an example of collecting profile data and regulations);

tracking modifications of the profiles with a third database tool (See column 8, lines 65-67; column 9, lines 1-3; column 32, lines 24-34); and

allowing security and authorization users access to the profiles (See column 9, lines 13-19; column 14, lines 50-58; column 21, lines 65-67).

As to claims 2, 9 and 16 Sziklai et al. teaches wherein in the step of tracking modifications of the profiles with a third database tool, the third database tool comprises a Profile Matrix, wherein the Profile Matrix comprises a data set (See column 13, lines 14-22; column 25, lines 56-67; column 26, lines 1-7); wherein the third database tool comprises a Profile Matrix, and wherein the Profile Matrix comprises a data set (See column 13, lines 14-22; column 25, lines 56-67; column 26, lines 1-7); wherein the third database tool comprises a Profile Matrix, and wherein the Profile Matrix comprises a data set (See column 13, lines 14-22; column 25, lines 56-67; column 26, lines 1-7).

As to claims 3, 10 and 17 Sziklai et al. teaches wherein in the step of tracking modifications of the profiles with a third database tool, the third database tool allows tracking capability of tasks required to gather and implement changes to the profiles (See abstract; column 7, lines 42-57; column 8, lines 25-41; column 9, lines 58-61); wherein the third database tool allows tracking capability of tasks required to gather and implement changes to the profiles (See abstract; column 7, lines 42-57; column 8, lines 25-41; column 9, lines 58-61); wherein in the method, the step of tracking modifications of the profiles with a third database tool allows tracking capability of tasks required to gather and implement changes to the profiles (See abstract; column 7, lines 42-57; column 8, lines 25-41; column 9, lines 58-61).

As to claims 4, 11 and 18 Sziklai et al. teaches wherein in the step of gathering requirements of the profiles with a second database tool, the second database tool comprises a profile requirement worksheet, wherein the profile requirement worksheet identifies the data (See column 9, lines 32-40, where "worksheet" is read on "worklist"; column 10, lines 47-53); wherein the second database tool comprises a profile requirement worksheet, and wherein the profile requirement worksheet identifies the data (See column 9, lines 32-40, where "worksheet" is read on "worklist"; column 10, lines 47-53); wherein the second database tool comprises a profile requirement worksheet, and wherein the profile requirement worksheet identifies the data (See column 9, lines 32-40, where "worksheet" is read on "worklist"; column 10, lines 47-53).

As to claims 5, 12 and 19 Sziklai et al. teaches wherein in the step of gathering requirements of the profiles with a second database tool, the second database tool further identifies authorization objects and field values of the profile requirement worksheet necessary to gather the requirements of the profiles (See column 11, lines 13-22, lines 28-30; column 21, lines 11-15); wherein the second database tool further identifies authorization objects and field values of the profile requirement worksheet necessary to gather the requirements of the profiles (See column 11, lines 13-22, lines 28-30; column 21, lines 11-15); wherein the second database tool further identifies authorization objects and field values of the profile requirement worksheet necessary to gather the requirements of the profiles (See column 11, lines 13-22, lines 28-30; column 21, lines 11-15).

As to claims 6, 13 and 20 Sziklai et al. teaches wherein the step of creating the profiles with a first database tool further comprises editing the profiles (See column 19, lines 30-32; column 21, lines 21-23); wherein the first database tool edits the profiles (See column 19, lines 30-32; column 21, lines 21-23); wherein in the method, the step of creating the profiles with a first database tool further comprises editing the profiles (See column 19, lines 30-32; column 21, lines 21-23).

As to claims 7, 14 and 21 Sziklai et al., teaches wherein in the step of creating the profiles with a first database tool, the first database tool comprises a security and authorization profile change request database, wherein the security and authorization

profile change request database allows the authorization users and requestors an ability to view documented progress of queries of the profiles (See column 11, lines 36-42, where "authorization users and requestors" is read on "configuration user ";column 29, lines 63-64; column 30, lines 17-25); wherein the first database tool comprises a security and authorization profile change request database, and wherein the security and authorization profile change request database allows the authorization users and requestors an ability to view documented progress of queries of the profiles (See column 11, lines 36-42, where "authorization users and requestors" is read on "configuration user ";column 29, lines 63-64; column 30, lines 17-25); wherein the first database tool comprises a security and authorization profile change request database, and wherein the security and authorization profile change request database allows the authorization users and requestors an ability to view documented progress of queries of the profiles (See column 11, lines 36-42, where "authorization users and requestors" is read on "configuration user ";column 29, lines 63-64; column 30, lines 17-25).

As to claim 8, Sziklai et al., teaches a computer system executing a method for tracking custom computer application development profiles in a data processing system (See abstract, where "custom computer application" is read on "information on operations and requirements concerning an activity or area of business"; also see column 8, lines 60-65), the system comprising:

a first database tool (See abstract; column 32, lines 12-21; column 34, lines 5-8);

Art Unit: 2175

a second database tool connected to the first database tool (See abstract; column 32, lines 24-31; column 34, lines 5-8);

a third database tool connected to the first and second database tool (See abstract; column 32, lines 32-41; column 34, lines 5-8);

a data bank connected to the first, second and third database tool (See abstract; column 34, lines 5-8); and

a security and authorization interface connected to the data processing system (See column 9, lines 13-19; column 14, lines 50-58; column 21, lines 65-67), wherein the first database tool comprises a first set of protocols which create the profiles (See column 8, lines 25-41, lines 60-67; column 9, lines 1-3, where “profiles” is read on “business operations”; also see column 9, lines 13-19),

wherein the second database tool comprises a second set of protocols which gather requirements of the profiles (See abstract; column 10, lines 24-33, where “disposal of hazardous waste in landfills” is used as an example of collecting profile data and regulations), and

wherein the third database tool comprises a third set of protocols which track modifications of the profiles (See column 8, lines 65-67; column 9, lines 1-3; column 32, lines 24-34).

As to claim 15, Sziklai et al., teaches a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method for tracking custom computer application development profiles in a

Art Unit: 2175

data processing system (See abstract, where "custom computer application" is read on "information on operations and requirements concerning an activity or area of business"; also see column 8, lines 60-65), the method comprising:

creating the profiles with a first database tool (See column 8, lines 25-41, lines 60-67; column 9, lines 1-3, where "profiles" is read on "business operations"; also see column 9, lines 13-19);

gathering requirements of the profiles with a second database tool (See abstract; column 10, lines 24-33, where "disposal of hazardous waste in landfills" is used as an example of collecting profile data and regulations);

tracking modifications of the profiles with a third database tool (See column 8, lines 65-67; column 9, lines 1-3; column 32, lines 24-34); and

allowing security and authorization users access to the profiles (See column 9, lines 13-19; column 14, lines 50-58; column 21, lines 65-67).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to Security and Authorization Development Tools in general:

U.S. Patent No. 6,578,199 to Tsou et al., for disclosing Automatic Tracking System and Method for Distributable Software.

U.S. Patent No. 6,697,806 to Cook, for disclosing Access Network Authorization.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mellissa M. Chojnacki whose telephone number is 730-305-8769. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mmc
April 28, 2004


SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER